G. R. S. 1X-5]

Previous references.

192⁰.

(Misc)

File No. 238

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

retain in Dept

Part B for January 22

SUBJECT.

Land & Kani to be allowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Tegur, Uthukamalam Taluk.

Collector, Nilgiris Lr No 1043-11 Dy 20. Jany 22

Sanctions the recommendation

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

1048

G. R S. 11] 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inquiry)

NOTES.

File No.

FILL HEADING.

Income Land enhanced [illegible] temple at Kegalu Village, Kelaniya.

From

The Dy Commr Kelaniya

No. 2 Dated 1-11-20 Received

Diary No. 1048	Enclos 1 & 2 Spare Copies —	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommended for remission, the enhanced land may be left in the possession of the [illegible] on condition of their payment of [illegible] to the revenue fund, and of the [illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

[illegible]

The Dy Commr forwards petition and reports as to a petition from Walla Kaje and others residents of Kegalu Village complaining against the [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

1748

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 238 of 1914

Serial No.

Land in Open Competition
& the Dy. Commr's report explain
the facts of the case.

[illegible]

4 For orders
Attd
15/12/20

5 Orders)

6 The Scale of Expenditure sanctioned by the Dy Commr provides for a fee of only Rs 9 per mensem to the Arbitrator under his present proposal, the Arbitrator will get about Rs 15 or a little less, (i.e Rs 200 the income of the Inam lands less some deductions referred to by the Dy C). What is the need for giving the Arbitrator more?

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

10696

3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Rev 3 File No. 230 of 19 21

Serial No.

8) The more he is seen, the greater is the loss that the Temple will be put to RJ-16/12/21

MLL 16/12/21

16/12 letter

9 No doubt, ~~the~~ the Archak will get more from the lands, than what is promised to pay him in Cash - viz 9 Rs a month -. 10 Govt. there is the other side of the picture ~~should~~ to be looked into also - 11 So long as the ~~tenants~~ lessees lease accounts are promptly cleared, it will be advisable to pay the Archak in Cash - Govt suppose the lessee fails to pay - the ~~question~~ two questions then arise :-

viz. What is the remedy open ~~to the~~ for the recovery of the putta & Kabuliyat

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

-petent for the local Govt
to proceed under the
provisions of the Land Rev. Law?-
Or does the remedy lie only
in a Civil Suit against the
lessee? —.

12. The point is a knotty
one — but yet ~~must be~~ a solution
should be ready worked out
— even by an amendment
of the Law if so necessary —
to fail Subordinates when they
actually arise — I submit
~~this, in the light of certain~~
~~questions which have now~~
~~& then come up before us.~~
~~When in charge of the New work~~
~~of Inds.~~ —.

13 I request reference to
Sub-para 4. - para 4 of page 11. of the
Min. Memo. —.

14 The ~~present~~ question is
one, which calls for a
definite decision now, in
the course adoption of the

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. 238 of 1922

Serial No

System of Devadaya Lands.

15 Again, even supposing that the L. R. Law is applicable for recovery of such amount (-ment of law) applied under Sec. unpaid in time, the [illegible] of Collector proceedings under L. R. Law often prove a very handy remedy even in the case of the recovery of the first assessment —. And when such delay occurs if finally it ends in an order of the Diwan. "being written off as irrecoverable," the payment to the Archaka will become a heavy charge on the Temple surplus. If there be no such surplus, the first will be put to the necessity of finding other means —.

16 In short, the lease system has also its own disadvantages. And in many cases,

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

1064

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Nur File No. 238 of 192 .

Serial No.

it may be found more advantageous in the long run, to have the Mian lands in the occupancy of the cultivators, for an annual rent rendered -.

If therefore appears that each case should be treated on its merits - and in this case, the advantage of the lease appears to be doubtful -

Forwarded.

22/12/20

To Collr)

Please write to the D. Comm. asking him to report why in the event of the Ahtapitis being allowed to remain in possession of the lands, he should not be called upon to contribute more than Rs 20, being that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 738 of 1916

Serial No.

in the Scale a demand of Rs 9 is proper for light. Whereas if he is allowed to sell his lands he will get more viz the difference between Rs 200 and the sums referred to by the Dy Comr Trichy 21/12/20.

23/12/20

2. To Dy Comm. Trichy Dist No. 4271 of 5.1.21.

Requested to be informed why the sheriff has been proposed to be paid in the manner that is provided for in the Scale, the remuneration

3. From the Dy. Comm. Madura Dist. No. 233. Dt 29-11-1921

Submits a detailed report in reply

That Dy. Comr states the anticipated income of 264 calculated by the Muzarai Shrastadar is not assured in the sale of lands by the Amildars.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No

The Amildar has however succeeded in inducing Mr Achak to consent to the payment of Rs 20/- to the Reserve Fund which is only Rs 10-5 — less than the amount in the Sheristadar's proposal. But to cover the loss three more Sevas have been added to the proposed list of Sevas.

Requests sanction to the revised proposal of the Amildar.

Submitted

10.1.22. Under the circumstances reported by the Dy Collr the proposals may be sanctioned

Dy

Collr 11.1.22

Approved below

20/1/22

Head Munshi 20.1.22

Approved

Dy 26.1.22

Collr 26.1.22

20.1.22
9.1.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. 238 of 1920. ?/

Serial No.	
4	To The Dy Commr, Kadur District Telegram dated 22 No: 4045-48. Jan 22 Sanction the recommendation of the Dy Commr to grant a concession of the Rs 5 lakhs to Land owners in Bagur Dem[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

No.

1920-21

ION Rev

238-20

/

2439

1920

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated 3rd November 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. H.C. 233

No. 69-20

Enclosure No. one Ker. file

SUBJECT

Submits a report on the petition of Mallarajiu and certain other residents of Dejur village Chikmagalur Taluk complaining against the disposal by open competition of the lease of the Inam Lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya Devaru Temple at the place and seeks sanction to lease the Inam Lands possession of the Pujaries on certain conditions.

Sur. No.	Dry, wet, or garden.	Extent. A. G.	Assessment Rs
3	Dry.	1- 0	1- 0- 0
4	"	0- 5	0- 4- 0
19	"	1-30	2-0- 0
28	Wet.	1-31	11- 0- 0
37	"	1-13	3- 0- 0
67	"	2- 2	11- 0- 0
96	Dry.	2-17	2- 8- 0
98	"	6-20	7- 8- 0
106	"	2-18	3- 0- 0
	Wet.	3- 4	11- 0- 0
118	Dry.	18-28	21- 0- 0
135	Wet.	0-37	3- 8- 0
141	Dry.	2- 3	2-12- 0
		43-38	84- 8- 0
Jodi.			9-7- 0

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, Dated 13th November 1920.

From

K. NYLAR RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

T.E. JAYARAMA IYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
MUZRAI *Department*,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 1337/ Muz.107-18-42 dated 31st October 1919 forwarding for disposal or report petition from Mallaraju and certain other residents of Tegur village, Chikmagalur Taluk, complaining against the disposal by open competition, of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya Devaru Temple at the place, I have the honour to state that 19 survey numbers of the Tegur village as per margin(both wet and dry lands) have been endowed to the temple in question. The right of cultivation of the Inam lands was ordered to be sold by public auction for a period of 5 years, but in the sale held on the 1st May 1919 by the Amildar of the Chikmagalur Taluk, no one came forward with any bids.

2. The Pujaries and the villagers have petitioned the Dewan and this office praying that the Inam lands in question might not be leased out, that the Pujaries would perform the worship as heretofore and keep the temple building in good order and that the lands might be allowed to remain in their possession as remuneration for the Puja service.

P.2.

3. The annual income from the Inam lands is estimated by the Amildar at Rs 200/- and not 267/- as anticipated by the Muzrai Sheristedar, and a proposal has been made by the Amildar to the effect that the inam lands might be left in the possession of the pujaries on condition of their payment of a sum of Rs 20/- annually towards the Reserve Fund of the Temple and of the ordinary repairs such as white-washing being attended to at their cost.

4. The proposal of the Amildar is recommended by the Sub Division Officer, Chikmagalur, and it may be sanctioned.

5. The scale of expenditure will be reduced in accordance with the revised income.

6. The file of vernacular correspondence ending with the Chikmagalur Sub Division Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No. S.O.C.1700/18-19 dated 2nd September 1920 is herewith submitted for perusal and kind return when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Muslar Rao

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

D.S.R.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 5. 12 20

Signed by Mr A [illegible]

Section A. G.

File No. 238-20

Serial No. 2.

No. 2271 Ecclesiastical

To

over.



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

26739

~~26739~~ 1921-22 2187

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

238 20

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated 29?
Despatched } November 1921
Received

General No. H.C. 233
No. 19-20.

Enclosure No. Nil

SUBJECT

Submits a detailed report with regard to the claims of the Sri Lakshmi Devamma temple at Belur to the Archakaship and to the management of the Archaka and certain endowed lands and recommends that the income of the Archaka may be guaranteed —

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, Dated...29th November 1921

From

...A.R.RAO,Esq.,B.A.,I.C.S....
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

To

F...J...G...IV.B.sq...B.A.,B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
...Luzrai..... DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2271/Miz. Dep-20-3 dated 5th January 1921 calling for further information with regard to the proposal to leave the Inam lands as endowed to the Sri Anjaneya Devaru temple at Tagur, Chikmagalur taluk, in the possession of the Archakas on certain conditions, I have the honour to state that the right of cultivation of the lands in question was sold on 3rd October 1921 by the Tahsildar in the village with the result that no one came forward with any bids. The Amildar was however able to persuade the villagers and the Pujaris to raise the reserve fund from Rs.20/- to Rs.30/- per annum and to agree to perform the special sevas noted

1. Sri Ramanavami 2. Gokulashtami. 3.Utsava Dwadasi	in the margin in addition to those

provided in the present scale.

P.T.O.

The present sanctioned scale consists of:-

(1)	Paditara charges.	24-0-0
(2)	Deeparadhane.	24-0-0
(3)	Hetchakatle charges Kalaga feast(Rs 5/-),Karthecka(5) and Navarathri(Rs 6/-)	16-0-0
(4)	Establishment charges.(Archak Rs 108) Sweeper Rs 24/-)	132-0-0
(5)	Kandayam on lands.	30-11-0
(6)	Reserve fund.	40-5-0
	Total Rs	267- 0-0

From the above it will be seen that the institution loses only Rs 10-5-0 in respect of the reserve fund and gets Rs 30/- instead of Rs 40-5-0 if the income is taken at Rs 267-0-0 per annum. But the income itself is not assured and against the loss of Rupees 10-5-0, three new sevas have been added on. Under these circumstances the proposal recommended by the Archaka may be sanctioned.

The file of vernacular records dealing with the Chidambaram Sub division Officer's No.T.M.C.57/21-22 dated 21st October 1921 is herewith submitted for reference and kind return when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Krishnarao

Deputy Commissioner,
Cuddalore District.

D.C.K.

Muz 238-20-4

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

- - - - - -

Read:--

Petition registered No.1996 dated 7th May 1919 from Mallaraju and other residents of Tegur village, Chikmagalur Taluk, complaining against the disposal by auction of the ~~[illegible]~~ inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya -- Devaru temple at the place.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. H.C.233 dated 29th November 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, stating that no one came forward at the auction to take up the lease of the lands ~~in public~~ -- ~~auction~~ and recommending that in accordance with the wishes of the villagers, the ---- pujaris of the temple may be left in possession of the lands in consideration of their conducting worship in the temple as per -- sanctioned scale with three additional sevas noted in the *margin and contribute a sum of Rs.30/- annually towards the reserve fund of the institution besides keeping the temple building in good condition by attending to the ordinary repairs such as white-washing at their own cost.

1. Sri Ramanavami,
2. Gokulashtami,
3. Utwan Dwadasi.

Order No. ----

Dated, Bangalore, the Jan. 1922.

The recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, ~~Kadur District~~, is sanctioned. He is requested to revise the scale accordingly.

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

o:-1. ~~Copy to~~ The Deputy Commr.,
2 with vernacular records.